arXiv:1304.7354v1 [math.DG] 27 Apr 2013

The Greiner's approach of heat kernel asymptotics, equivariant family JLO characters and equivariant eta forms

Yong Wang

Abstract

In this paper, we give proofs of the family index formula and the equivariant family index formula by the Greiner's approach of heat kernel asymptotics. We compute equivariant family JLO characters. We also define the equivariant eta form and give a proof of its regularity.

Keywords: Heat equation methods; family index formula; equivariant family index formula; equivariant family JLO characters; equivariant eta form

1 Introduction

The first success of proving the Atiyah-Singer index theorem directly by heat kernel method was achieved by Patodi [Pa], who carried out the ”fantastic cancellation” (cf. [[MS]) for the Laplace operators and for the first time proved a local version of the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern theorem. Nextly several different direct heat kernel proofs of the Atiyah-Singer index theorem for Dirac operators have appeared independently: Bismut [Bi1], Getzler [Ge1], [Ge2] and Yu [Yu], Ponge [Po1]. All the proofs have their own advantages. Motivated by the problem of generalizing the heat kernel proofs of the index theorem to prove a local index theorem for families of elliptic operators, Quillen [Qu] introduced the concept of supereonnections, and this was developed by Bismut to give a heat kernel representation for the Chern character of families of first order elliptic operators. Then using his probabilitistic method, Bismut [Bi2] obtained a proof of the local index theorem for families of Dirac operators. In [BV1],[Do1], they gave two different proofs of local index theorem for families of Dirac operators. Using the method of Yu, Zhang gave another proof of the local index theorem for families of Dirac operators in [Z1]. The first purpose of this paper is to give another proof of the local index theorem for families of Dirac operators by the Ponge's method.

The Atiyah-Bott-Segal-Singer index formula is a generalization with group action of the Atiyah-Singer index theorem. In [BV2], Berline and Vergne gave a heat kernel proof of the Atiyah-Bott-Segal-Singer index formula. In [LYZ], Lafferty, Yu and Zhang presented a very simple and direct geometric proof for equivariant index of the Dirac operator. In [PW], Ponge and Wang gave a different proof of the equivariant index formula by the Greiner's approach of the heat kernel asymptotics. In [LM], in order to prove family rigidity theorems, Liu and Ma proved the equivariant family index formula. The second purpose of this paper is to give another proof of the local equivarint index theorem for families of Dirac operators by the Greiner's approach of the heat kernel asymptotics.

It is known, due to Connes [Co] and an equivalent but convenient version which is due to Jaffe, Lesniewski and Osterwalder and known as a JLO formula [JLO], that the Chern character of a θ-summable Fredholm module (H, D) over a unital C^*-algebra A, takes value in the entire cyclic cohomology of A. JLO characters were computed in [CM1] and [BlF]. Explicit formula of an equivariant JLO character, associated to the invariant Dirac operator, in the presence of a countable discrete group action on a smooth compact spin Riemannian manifold, was given by Azmi, [Az1]. Moreover, in [Az1] it was shown that this equivariant cocycle is an element of the delocalized cohomology, and it pairs with an equivariant K-theory idempotent. In the case G is a compact Lie group, Chern and Hu [CH] gave an explicit formula of an equivariant Chern-Connes character, associated to G-equivariant θ-summable Fredholm module. In [PW], Ponge and Wang computed equivariant Chern-Connes characters by the Greiner's approach of the heat kernel asymptotics.

On the other hand, Wu [Wu] constructed a bivariant Chern-Connes character for (a special class) of θ summable modules, by incorporating the JLO formula and the superconnectiom formalism of Quillen. Wu's bivariant character takes values in the bivariant cyclic theory described by Lott [Lo], who constructed it as a combination of entire cyclic (co)homology and non commutative de Rham homology of graded differential algebra. Then by adopting Wu's method and employing Bismut's superconnection together with the canonical order calculus, Azmi [Az2] expressed the local formula for families in terms of differential forms on the base and the Chern roots of the fibration. In [Z2], Zhang announced a different method to compute the family JLO characters by developing the family version of the method in [CH] and [Fe]. In the third part of this paper, we give details of the announcement in [Z2] and compute the equivariant family JLO characters.

In [APS], Atiyah, Patodi and Singer proved the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem for manifolds with boundary and they introduced the eta invariants. Bismut and Freed gave a simple proof of the regularity of eta invariants in [BiF]. In [Po2], Ponge gave another proof of the regularity of eta invariants by the method in [Po1]. Bismut and Cheeger generalized the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem to the family case in [BC1,2]. They used the eta form for families of Dirac operators. The regularity of the eta form was proved by the probability method in [BiGS]. Donnelly generalized the Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem to the equivariant case and introduced the equivariant eta invariant in [Do2]. Zhang proved the regularity of the equivariant eta invariant by the Clifford asymptotics in [Z3]. In this paper, we firstly prove the regularity of the equivariant eta invariant by a similar method in [PW] and introducing the Grassmann variable. Then we define the equivariant eta form and prove its regularity.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we give another proof of the local index theorem for families of Dirac operators by the Ponge's method. In Section 3, we give another proof of the local equivarint index theorem for families of Dirac operators by the Greiner's approach of the heat kernel asymptotics. In Section 4, we compute the equivariant family JLO characters. In Section 5, we define the equivariant eta form and prove its regularity.

2 The local index theorem for families of Dirac operators

2.1 The Greiner's approach of heat kernel asymptotics with form coefficients.

Firstly we recall the Bismut superconnection. Let M be a $n+\overline{q}$ dimensional compact connected manifold and B be a $\overline{q}$ dimensional compact connected manifold. We assume that $\pi: M \to B$ is a submersion of M onto B, which defines a fibration of M with fibre G. For $y \in B$, $\pi^{-1}(y)$ is then a submanifolds G_y of M. TG denotes the n-dimensional vector bundle on M whose fibre T_xG is the tangent space at x to the fibre $G_{\pi x}$. We assume that M and B are oriented. Taking the orthogonal bundle of TG in TM with respect to any Riemannian metric, determines a smooth horizontal subbundle T^HM, i.e. $TM = T^HM \oplus TG$. Vector fields $X \in TB$ will be identified with their horizontal lifts $X \in T^HM$, moreover T^H_xM is isomorphic to $T_{\pi(x)}B$ via π_*. Recall that B is Riemannian, so we can lift the Euclidean scalar product g_B of TB to T^HM. And we assume that TG is endowed with a scalar product g_G. Thus we can introduce in TM a new scalar product $g_B \oplus g_G$, and denote by ∇^L the Levi-Civita connection on TM with respect to this metric. Let ∇^B denote the Levi-Civita connection on TB and we still denote by ∇^B the pullback connection on T^HM. Let $\nabla^G = P_G(\nabla^L)$ where P_G denotes the projection to TG. Let $\nabla^{\oplus} = \nabla^B \oplus \nabla^G$ and $S = \nabla^L - \nabla^{\oplus}$ and T is the torsion tensor of $\nabla^{\oplus}$. Let $SO(TG)$ be the $SO(n)$ bundle of oriented orthonormal frames in TG. Now we assume that bundle TG is spin. Let $S(TG)$ be the associated spinors bundle and ∇^G can be lifted to give a connection on $S(TG)$. Let D be the tangent Dirac operator. Let E be the vector bundle $\pi^*(\wedge T^*B) \otimes S(TG)$. This bundle carries a natural action m_0 of the degenerate Clifford module $C_0(M)$. The Clifford action of a horizontal cotangent vector $\alpha \in \Gamma(M, T^*_HM)$ is given by exterior multiplication $m_0(\alpha) = \varepsilon(\alpha)$ acting on the first factor $\bigwedge T^*_HM$ in E, while the Clifford action of a vertical cotangent vector simply equals its Clifford action on $S(TG)$. Define the connection

$$\nabla^{E,\oplus} := \pi^*\nabla^B \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \nabla^S, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\omega(X)(Y,Z) := g(\nabla^L_X Y, Z) - g(\nabla^{\oplus}_X Y, Z), \tag{2.2}$$

$$\nabla^{E,0}_X := \nabla^{E,\oplus}_X + \frac{1}{2} m_0(\omega(X)), \tag{2.3}$$

for $X, Y, Z \in TM$. Then the Bismut Connection acting on $\Gamma(M, \pi^* \wedge (B) \otimes S(TG))$

is define by

$$\mathcal{B}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}c(e_i^*)\nabla_{e_i}^{E,0}+\sum_{j=1}^{\overline{q}}c(f_j^*)\nabla_{f_j}^{E,0}. \tag{2.4}$$

Then by the proposition 10.15 in [BGV], $\mathcal{B}=D+\mathrm{A}_{[+]}$, where $\mathrm{A}_{[+]}$ is an operator with coefficients in $\Omega_{\geq 1}(B)$. By Theorem 10.17 in [BGV], we have

$$\mathrm{F}=\mathcal{B}^2=-\sum_{i=1}^{n}(\nabla_{e_i}^{E,0})^2+\sum_{i=1}^{n}\nabla_{\nabla_{e_i}^{G}e_i}^{E,0}+\frac{1}{4}r_G=D^2+F_{[+]}, \tag{2.5}$$

where r_G is the scalar curvature of fibres. For fixed $t>0$, define the operator e^{-tF} to equal

$$e^{-tF}=e^{-tD^2}+\sum_{k>0}(-t)^kI_k, \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$I_k=\int_{\triangle_k}e^{-\sigma_0tD^2}F_{[+]}e^{-\sigma_1tD^2}F_{[+]}\cdots e^{-\sigma_{k-1}tD^2}F_{[+]}e^{-\sigma_ktD^2}d\sigma, \tag{2.7}$$

and the sum is finite. Then

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+F)e^{-tF}=0,\quad Fe^{-tF}=e^{-tF}F. \tag{2.8}$$

In the following, we give the Greiner's approach of heat kernel asymptotics with coefficients in $\wedge T_z^*B$ as in [Gr] and [BeGS]. Define the operator given by

$$(Q_0u)(x,s)=\int_0^\infty e^{-sF}[u(x,t-s)]dt,\quad u\in\Gamma_c(M_z\times\mathbb{R},S(TM_z))\otimes\wedge T_z^*B, \tag{2.9}$$

maps continuously u to $D'(M_z\times\mathbb{R},S(TM_z))\otimes\wedge T_z^*B$ which is the dual space of $\Gamma_c(M_z\times\mathbb{R},S(TM_z))\otimes\wedge T_z^*B$. By (2.8), we have

$$(F+\frac{\partial}{\partial t})Q_0u=Q_0(F+\frac{\partial}{\partial t})u=u,\quad u\in\Gamma_c(M_z\times\mathbb{R},S(TM_z))\otimes\wedge T_z^*B, \tag{2.10}$$

We define the operator

$$Q=(F+\frac{\partial}{\partial t})^{-1}=(D^2+\frac{\partial}{\partial t})^{-1}+\sum_{k>0}(-1)^k(D^2+\frac{\partial}{\partial t})^{-1}[F_{[+]}(D^2+\frac{\partial}{\partial t})^{-1}]^k, \tag{2.11}$$

where $(D^2+\frac{\partial}{\partial t})^{-1}$ is the Volterra inverse of $D^2+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ as in [BeGS]. Then

$$(F+\frac{\partial}{\partial t})Q=I-R_1;\quad Q(F+\frac{\partial}{\partial t})=1-R_2, \tag{2.22}$$

where R_1,R_2 are smooth operators. Let

$$(Q_0u)(x,t)=\int_{M_z\times\mathbb{R}}K_{Q_0}(x,y,t-s)u(y,s)dyds, \tag{2.23}$$

and $k_t(x,y)$ is the heat kernel of e^{-tF}. Similar to the discussion in [BeGS p.363], we get

$$K_{Q_0}(x,y,t) = k_t(x,y) \text{ when } t>0, \quad \text{when } t<0,\ K_{Q_0}(x,y,t)=0. \tag{2.24}$$

Definition 2.1 *The operator P is called the Volterra ΨDO if (i) P has the Volterra property,i.e. it has a distribution kernel of the form $K_P(x,y,t-s)$ where $K_P(x,y,t)$ vanishes on the region $t<0$.*
(ii) *The parabolic homogeneity of the heat operator $P+\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$, i.e. the homogeneity with respect to the dilations of $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^1$ given by*

$$\lambda \cdot (\xi,\tau) = (\lambda\xi, \lambda^2\tau), \qquad (\xi,\tau)\in \mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^1, \quad \lambda \neq 0. \tag{2.25}$$

In the sequel for $g \in S(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ and $\lambda \neq 0$, we let g_λ be the tempered distribution defined by

$$\langle g_\lambda(\xi,\tau), u(\xi,\tau)\rangle = |\lambda|^{-(n+2)}\left\langle g_\lambda(\xi,\tau), u(\lambda^{-1}\xi,\lambda^{-2}\tau)\right\rangle, \quad u\in S(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}). \tag{2.26}$$

Definition 2.2 A distribution $g \in S(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ is parabolic homogeneous of degree $m,\ m\in Z$, if for any $\lambda \neq 0$, we have $g_\lambda = \lambda^m g$.

Let $\mathbb{C}_-$ denote the complex halfplane $\{\mathrm{Im}\tau < 0\}$ with closure $\overline{\mathbb{C}_-}$. Then:

Lemma 2.3 ([BeGS, Prop. 1.9]). *Let $q(\xi,\tau)\in C^\infty((\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R})/0)\otimes\wedge T_z^*B$ be a parabolic homogeneous symbol of degree m such that:*
(i) *q extends to a continuous function on $(\mathbb{R}^n\times\overline{\mathbb{C}_-})/0$ in such way to be holomorphic in the last variable when the latter is restricted to $\mathbb{C}_-$.*
Then there is a unique $g\in S(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ agreeing with q on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}/0$ so that:
(ii) *g is homogeneous of degree m;*
(iii) *The inverse Fourier transform $\breve{g}(x,t)$ vanishes for $t<0$.*

Let U be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$. We define Volterra symbols and Volterra ΨDOs on $U\times\mathbb{R}^{n+1}/0$ as follows.

Definition 2.4 *$S_V^m(U\times\mathbb{R}^{n+1})\otimes\wedge T_z^*B,\ m\in\mathbb{Z}$, consists in smooth functions $q(x,\xi,\tau)$ on $U\times\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}$ with an asymptotic expansion $q\sim\sum_{j\geq 0}q_{m-j}$, where:*
-$q_l\in C^\infty(U\times[(\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R})/0])\otimes\wedge T_z^*B$ is a homogeneous Volterra symbol of degree l, i.e. q_l is parabolic homogeneous of degree l and satisfies the property (i) in Lemma 2.3 with respect to the last $n+1$ variables;
- The sign $\sim$ means that, for any integer N and any compact K, U, there is a constant $C_{NK\alpha\beta k}>0$ such that for $x\in K$ and for $|\xi|+|\tau|^{\frac{1}{2}}>1$ we have

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \partial_\tau^k (q - \sum_{j<N} q_{m-j})(x,\xi,\tau)| \leq C_{NK\alpha\beta k}(|\xi| + |\tau|^{\frac{1}{2}})^{m-N-|\beta|-2k}. \tag{2.27}$$

Definition 2.5 $\Psi_V^m(U \times \mathbb{R}, \wedge T_z^* B)$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ *, consists in continuous operators* Q *from* $C_c^\infty(U_x \times \mathbb{R}_t, \wedge T_z^* B)$ *to* $C^\infty(U_x \times \mathbb{R}_t, \wedge T_z^* B)$ *such that:*
(i) *Q has the Volterra property;*
(ii) $Q = q(x, D_x, D_t) + R$ *for some symbol q in* $S_V^m(U \times \mathbb{R}, \wedge T_z^* B)$ *and some smoothing operator R.*

In the sequel if Q is a Volterra ΨDO, we let $K_Q(x, y, t-s)$ denote its distribution kernel, so that the distribution $K_Q(x, y, t)$ vanishes for $t < 0$.

Definition 2.6 *Let* $q_m(x,\xi,\tau) \in C^\infty(U \times (\mathbb{R}^{n+1}/0)) \otimes \wedge T_z^* B$ *be a homogeneous Volterra symbol of order m and let* $g_m \in C^\infty(U) \otimes \mathbb{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}) \otimes \wedge T_z^* B$ *denote its unique homogeneous extension given by Lemma 2.3. Then:*
- $\check{q}_m(x,y,t)$ *is the inverse Fourier transform of* $g_m(x,\xi,\tau)$ *in the last $n+1$ variables;*
- $q_m(x, D_x, D_t)$ *is the operator with kernel* $\check{q}_m(x, y-x, t)$.

The composition of ordinary Volterra symbols naturally extends to a composition of Volterra symbols with form coefficients by:

$$\mathbf{q} \tilde{\circ} \mathbf{q}' := \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \otimes q \circ q' \tag{2.28}$$

where $\mathbf{q} = \omega_1 q_1$, $\mathbf{q}' = \omega_2 q_2$ and $q \circ q'$ is the ordinary composition of symbols corresponding to the ΨDO algebra multiplication

$$A_i(T_z^* B, \Psi_V^{m_1}(S(T_z G))) \times A_j(T_z^* B, \Psi_V^{m_2}(S(T_z G))) \to A_{i+j}(T_z^* B, \Psi_V^{m_1+m_2}(S(T_z G))). \tag{2.29}$$

Proposition 2.7 *The following properties hold.*
1) Composition. Let $Q_j \in \Psi_V^{m_j}(U \times \mathbb{R}) \otimes \wedge T_z^* B$, $j = 1, 2$ *have symbol q_j and suppose that Q_1 or Q_2 is properly supported. Then $Q_1 Q_2$ is a Volterra ΨDO of order $m_1 + m_2$ with symbol* $q_1 \circ q_2 \sim \sum \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_\xi^\alpha q_1 D_x^\alpha q_2$.
2) Parametrices. An operator $Q = Q^m + Q^{<m}$ *where Q^m is the order m ordinary Volterra ΨDO with the paramatrix P and $Q^{<m}$ is the order less than m Volterra ΨDO with form coefficients, then let* $\widetilde{Q} = P + \sum (-1)^k P[Q^{m<0} P]^k$ *satisfy*

$$Q\widetilde{Q} = 1 - R_1, \quad \widetilde{Q} Q = 1 - R_2 \tag{2.30}$$

where R_1, R_2 are smooth operators.

By (2.11) and $(D^2+\partial_t)^{-1}$ is a Volterra ΨDO of order -2 and $F_{[+]}$ is a first order Volterra ΨDO, we get

Proposition 2.8 *The differential operator $F+\partial_t$ is invertible and its inverse $(F+\partial_t)^{-1}$ is a Volterra ΨDO of order -2.*

Lemma 2.9 ([Po1]) Let $Q\in\Psi_V^m(U\times\mathbb{R},\wedge T_z^*B)$ have symbol $q\sim\sum q_{m-j}$ and $q_{m-j}=\sum_{s=1}^{2^{\overline{q}}}q_{m-j,s}\omega^s$, we have

$$K_Q(x,x,t)\sim t^{-(\frac{n}{2}+[\frac{m}{2}]+1)}\sum_{l\geq 0}t^l\check{q}_{2[\frac{m}{2}]-2l}(x,0,1), \tag{2.31}$$

where $\check{q}_{m-j}=\sum_{s=1}^{2^{\overline{q}}}\check{q}_{m-j,s}\omega^s$.

By (2.24) and Proposition 2.8 and Lemma 2.9, we get

Theorem 2.10 ([Gr, Thm 1.6.1]) *In $C^\infty(M_z,\mathrm{End}(S(TG_z)))\otimes\wedge T_z^*B$, we have*

$$k_t(x,x)\sim t^{-\frac{n}{2}}\sum_{l\geq 0}t^la_l(F)(x),\ \ t\to 0^+,\ \ a_l(F)(x)=\check{q}_{-2-2l}(x,0,1), \tag{2.32}$$

where $q_{-2-2l}(x,\xi,\tau)$ is the $-2l-2$ order symbol of $(\partial_t+F)^{-1}$.

Similarly, we have

Proposition 2.11 ([Po1]) *Let $P:C^\infty(M_z,S(TG_z))\to C^\infty(M_z,S(TG_z))$ be a differential operator of order m and let $h_t(x,y)$ denote the distribution kernel of Pe^{-tF}. Then in $C^\infty(M_z,\mathrm{End}(S(TG_z)))\otimes\wedge T_z^*B$, we have*

$$h_t(x,x)\sim t^{-([\frac{m}{2}]+\frac{n}{2})}\sum_{l\geq 0}t^lb_l(F)(x),\ \ t\to 0^+,\ \ b_l(x)=\check{q}_{2[\frac{m}{2}]-2-2l}(x,0,1), \tag{2.33}$$

where $q_{2[\frac{m}{2}]-2-2l}(x,\xi,\tau)$ is the $2[\frac{m}{2}]-2l-2$ order symbol of $P(\partial_t+F)^{-1}$.

2.2 The local family index formula.

In this section we shall give a new proof the local family index formula by using the Greiner's approach of the heat kernel asymptotics with form coefficients. For $z\in B$, denotes by D_z the restriction of D to the fiber acting on $\Gamma(M_z,S(TM_z))$. D_z interchanges $\Gamma^+(M_z,S(TM_z))$ and $\Gamma^-(M_z,S(TM_z))$. $D_{z,+},\ D_{z,-}$ are the restrictions of D to $\Gamma^+(M_z,S(TM_z))$ and $\Gamma^-(M_z,S(TM_z))$. By the chapter 9 in [BGV], the difference bundle over B $\mathrm{ker}D_{z,+}-\mathrm{ker}D_{z,-}$ is well defined in the sense of K-theory. The family index theorem is to present a calculation of the Chern character of the difference bundle as a differential form over B explicitly. we change the normalization constant in the definition of the Chern character. Namely, for a vector bundle V with connection form γ and curvature U, we set $\mathrm{Ch}(V)=\mathrm{Tr}(\exp(-C))$. Let

$\widehat{A}(R^G)=\det^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{R^G/2}{\sinh(R^G/2)}\right)$.

Theorem 2.12 (Atiyah-Singer [AS])

$$(2i\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\int_{M_z}\widehat{A}(R^G) \tag{2.34}$$

is a representative of $\mathrm{Ch}[\ker D_{z,+}-\ker D_{z,-}]$.

Let $\overline{F}$ be a complex vector bundle, we can get a twisted index bundle and a twisted family index theorem:

Theorem 2.13 (Atiyah-Singer [AS])

$$(2i\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\int_{M_z}\widehat{A}(R^G)\mathrm{ch}(\overline{F}) \tag{2.35}$$

is a representative of $\mathrm{Ch}[\ker D^F_{z,+}-\ker D^F_{z,-}]$.

We prove the theorem 2.12 and we can get theorem 2.13 similarly. For $t>0$, we set

$$\psi_t:\ \mathrm{Hom}(S_x,S_x)\widehat{\otimes}\wedge_z(T^*B)\to\mathrm{Hom}(S_x,S_x)\widehat{\otimes}\wedge_z(T^*B)\ \ hdy_\alpha\mapsto\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}hdy_\alpha. \tag{2.36}$$

By Proposition 4.1 in [Z1], we get

Proposition 2.14 ([Z1]) For $t>0$,

$$\psi_t\int_{M_z}\mathrm{Tr_s}k^z_t(x,x)dx$$

is a representative of $\mathrm{Ch}[\ker D_{z,+}-\ker D_{z,-}]$.

In order to prove Theorem 2.12, we only need to prove the following local family index formula:

Theorem 2.15 [AS1]

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\psi_t\int_{M_z}\mathrm{Tr}_s k^z_t(x,x)dx=(2i\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\int_{M_z}\widehat{A}(R^G) \tag{2.37}$$

Let n be even and $\mathrm{End}(S(TM_z))$ is as a bundle of algebras over M_z isomorphic to the Clifford bundle $\mathrm{Cl}(M_z)$, whose fiber $\mathrm{Cl}_x(M_z)$ at $x\in M_z$ is the complex algebra generated by 1 and $T^*_xM_z$ with relations

$$\xi\cdot\eta+\eta\cdot\xi=-2\langle\xi,\eta\rangle,\quad \xi,\eta\in T^*_xM_z. \tag{2.38}$$

Recall that the quantization map $c : \wedge T^*_{\mathbb{C}}(M_z) \to \mathrm{Cl}(M)$ and the symbol map $\sigma = c^{-1}$ satisfy

$$\sigma(c(\xi)c(\eta)) = \xi \wedge \eta - \langle \xi, \eta \rangle\,. \tag{2.39}$$

So, for ξ and η in $\wedge T^*_{\mathbb{C}}(M_z)$ we have

$$\sigma(c(\xi^{(i)})c(\eta^{(j)})) = \xi^{(i)} \wedge \eta^{(j)} \mod \wedge^{i+j-2} T^*_{\mathbb{C}}(M_z). \tag{2.40}$$

where $\xi^{(l)}$ denotes the component in $\wedge^l T^*_{\mathbb{C}}(M_z)$ of $\xi \in \wedge T^*_{\mathbb{C}}(M_z)$. Recall, if $e_1, \cdots, e_n$ is an orthonormal frame of $T_x M_z$, then

$$\mathrm{Tr}_s[c(e^{i_1}) \cdots c(e^{i_k})] = 0, \quad \text{if } k \neq n, \quad = (-2i)^{\frac{n}{2}} \quad \text{if} \quad k = n. \tag{2.41}$$

We can prove (2.37) at a fixed point $x_0 \in M_z$. Using normal coordinates centered at x_0 in M_z and paralleling ∂_i at x_0 along geodesics through x_0, we get the orthonormal frame $e_1, \cdots, e_n$. By

$$k^z_t(0,0) = K^z_Q(0,0,t) + O(t^\infty) \qquad \text{as} \quad t \to 0^+,$$

and (2.41), we get

$$\lim_{t\to 0}\psi_t \int_{M_z} \mathrm{Tr}_s k^z_t(x,x)dx = (-2i)^{\frac{n}{2}} \lim_{t \to 0} \int_{M_z} \sigma[\psi_t K_Q(0,0,t)]. \tag{2.42}$$

We define the Getzler order as follows:

$$\mathrm{deg}\partial_j = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{deg}\partial_t = \mathrm{deg}c(dx_j) = \mathrm{deg}c(dy_j) = -\mathrm{deg}x^j = 1. \tag{2.43}$$

Let $Q \in \Psi^*_V(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}, S(TG_z) \otimes \wedge^* T^*_z B)$ have symbol

$$q(x,\xi,\tau) \sim \sum_{k \leq m'} \sum_{l=1}^{2^{\overline{q}}} q_{k,l}(x,\xi,\tau)\omega^{[l]}, \tag{2.44}$$

where $\omega^{[l]} \in \wedge^l T^*_z B$ and $q_{k,l}(x,\xi,\tau)$ is an order k symbol. Then taking components in each subspace $\wedge^j T^* M_z$ and using Taylor expansions at $x = 0$ give formal expansions

$$\sigma[q(x,\xi,\tau)] \sim \sum_{j,k,l} \sigma[q_{k,l}(x,\xi,\tau)]^{(j)}\omega^{[l]} \sim \sum_{j,k,l,\alpha} \frac{x^\alpha}{\alpha!}\sigma[\partial^\alpha_x q_{k,l}(0,\xi,\tau)]^{(j)}\omega^{[l]}. \tag{2.45}$$

The symbol $\frac{x^\alpha}{\alpha!}\sigma[\partial^\alpha_x q_{k,l}(0,\xi,\tau)]^{(j)}\omega^{[l]}$ is the Getzler homogeneous of $k+j+l-|\alpha|$. So we can expand $\sigma[q(x,\xi,\tau)]$ as

$$\sigma[q(x,\xi,\tau)] \sim \sum_{j \geq 0} q_{(m-j)}(x,\xi,\tau), \qquad q_{(m)} \neq 0, \tag{2.46}$$

where $q_{(m-j)}$ is a Getzler homogeneous symbol of degree $m - j$.

Definition 2.16 ([Po1]) The integer m is called as the Getzler order of Q. The symbol $q_{(m)}$ is the principle Getzler homogeneous symbol of Q. The operator $Q_{(m)} = q_{(m)}(x, D_x, D_t)$ is called as the model operator of Q.

Lemma 2.17 *let $Q \in \Psi_V^*(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}, S(TG_z) \otimes \wedge^* T_z^* B)$ have Getzler order m and model operator $Q_{(m)}$. Then as $t \to 0^+$ we have:*

$$\sigma[\psi_t K_Q(0,0,t)]^{(j)} = \Omega^{\mathrm{odd}}(T^*B) O(t^{\frac{j-n-m-2}{2}}) + O(t^{\frac{j-n-m-1}{2}}), \quad \text{if } m-j \quad \text{is odd;}$$

$$\sigma[\psi_t K_Q(0,0,t)]^{(j)} = t^{\frac{j-n-m-2}{2}} K_{Q_{(m)}}(0,0,1)^{(j)} + O(t^{\frac{j-n-m}{2}}), \quad \text{if } m-j \quad \text{is even,}$$

where $[K_Q(0,0,t)]^{(j)}$ denotes taking the j degree form component in M_z. In particular $m = -2$ and $j = n$ is even, we get

$$\sigma[\psi_t K_Q(0,0,t)]^{(n)} = K_{Q_{(-2)}}(0,0,1)^{(n)} + O(t). \tag{2.47}$$

Proof. By (1.7) in [Po1], we get

$$K_Q(0,0,t) \sim \sum_{m_0 - j_0 \text{ even}} \sum_l t^{\frac{j_0 - n - m_0 - 2}{2}} \check{q}_{m_0 - j_0, l}(0,0,1) \omega^{[l]}, \tag{2.48}$$

where m_0 is the operator order of Q. And then

$$\sigma[\psi_t K_Q(0,0,t)]^{(j)} \sim \sum_{m_0 - j_0 \text{ even}} \sum_l t^{\frac{j_0 - n - m_0 - l - 2}{2}} \sigma[\check{q}_{m_0 - j_0, l}(0,0,1)]^{(j)} \omega^{[l]}, \tag{2.49}$$

Let $L = m_0 - j_0 + j + l$. By Q having the Getzler order m, then $L \leq m$. Then

$$\sigma[\psi_t K_Q(0,0,t)]^{(j)} \sim \sum_{m_0 - j_0 \text{ even}} \sum_l \sum_{L \leq m} t^{\frac{j-n-L-2}{2}} \sigma[\check{q}_{m_0 - j_0, l}(0,0,1)]^{(j)} \omega^{[l]}, \tag{2.50}$$

We note that the leading term degree is $L = m$ and $m_0 - j_0 + l = m - j$. When $m - j$ is odd, since $m_0 - j_0$ is even, then l is odd, then we get

$$\sigma[\psi_t K_Q(0,0,t)]^{(j)} = \Omega^{\mathrm{odd}}(T^*B) O(t^{\frac{j-n-m-2}{2}}) + O(t^{\frac{j-n-m-1}{2}}). \tag{2.51}$$

When $L = m$ and $m - j$ is even, then l is even, in this case, the leading coefficient is

$$\sigma[\check{q}_{(m)}(0,0,1)]^{(j)} = \sum_l \sigma[\check{q}_{m-j-l,l}(0,0,1)]^{(j)} \omega^{[l]} = K_{Q_{(m)}}(0,0,1)^{(j)}. \tag{2.52}$$

The Next term is that $L = m - 1$ and $m - j$ is even and $m_0 - j_0 + l + j = m - 1$, then l is odd, so $m - j - l$ is odd and $\check{q}_{m-j-l,l}(0,0,1) = 0$. Then Next term is $O(t^{\frac{j-n-m}{2}})$. □

In the sequel we say that a symbol or a ΨDO is $O_G(m)$ if it has Getzler order $\leq m$. Similar to Lemma 2.8 in [Po1], we have:

Lemma 2.18 *For $j = 1, 2$, let $Q_j \in \Psi^*_V(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}, S(TG_z) \otimes \wedge^* T^*_z B)$ have Getzler order m_j and model operator $Q_{(m_j)}$ and assume either Q_1 or Q_2 properly supported. Then we have:*

$$Q_1 Q_2 = c[Q_{(m_1)} Q_{(m_2)}] + O_G(m_1 + m_2). \tag{2.53}$$

In the following, we compute the model operator of F in (2.5). Let $x_0, x \in M_z$ and $\tau^E(x_0, x)$ be the parallel transport map in the bundle $\pi^* \wedge^* (T^*_z B) \otimes S(TM_z)$ along the geodesic from x to x_0, defined with respect to the Clifford connection $\nabla^{E,0}$. Using this map, we can trivialize the bundle $\pi^* \wedge^* (T^*_z B) \otimes S(TM_z)$. We note that $e_1, \cdots, e_n$ is the parallel transport frame with respect to ∇^G and so $m_0(e_i)$ is not a constant matrix under above trivialization. But we have

Lemma 2.19 ([BGV Lemma 10.25]) *If c^i is the Clifford action of the cotangent vector dx_i acting on E at x_0 and ε^α is multiplication by f^α in the exterior algebra $\wedge^*(T^*_z B)$, we have*

$$m_0(e^i) = c^i + \sum u^i_\alpha \varepsilon^\alpha; \qquad m_0(f^\alpha) = \varepsilon^\alpha, \tag{2.54}$$

where u^i_α are smooth functions on U satisfying $u^i_\alpha(x) = O(|x|)$.

Lemma 2.20 ([BGV Lemma 10.26]) *In the trivialization of E over U induced by the parallel transport map $\tau^E(x_0, x)$, the connection $\nabla^{E,0}$ equals $d + \Theta$, where*

$$\Theta(\partial_i) = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j,a<b} \langle R(\partial_i, \partial_j) e_a, e_b \rangle m^a m^b x^j + \sum_{a<b} f_{iab}(x) m^a m^b + g_i(x); \tag{2.55}$$

here m^a represents c^i or ε^α and $f_{iab} = O(|x|^2)$ and $g_i(x) = O(|x|)$.

By (2.5) and Lemma 2.19 and Lemma 2.20, we get

Proposition 2.21 *In the trivialization of E over U induced by the parallel transport map $\tau^E(x_0, x)$ and the normal coordinate, the model operator of F is*

$$F_{(2)} = -\sum_{i=1}^n (\partial_i - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j)^2, \qquad a_{ij} = \left\langle R^G \partial_i, \partial_j \right\rangle. \tag{2.56}$$

We note that $\lim_{t\to 0} \psi_t \int_{M_z} \mathrm{Tr}_s k^z_t(x, x) dx$ does not depend on the trivialization. Similar to Lemma 2.9 in [Po1], we get

Lemma 2.22 *Let Q be a Volterra paramatrix for $F + \partial_t$. Then Q has the model operator $(F_{(2)} + \partial_t)^{-1}$ and*

$$K_{(F_{(2)}+\partial_t)^{-1}}(0, 0, 1) = (4\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \widehat{A}(R^G). \tag{2.57}$$

By (2.42), (2.47) and Lemma 2.22, we get Theorem 2.15.

3 The local equivariant family index theorem

Let G be a compact Lie group which acts fiberwise on M. We will consider that G acts as identity on B. Without loss of generality we can assume that G acts on (TG, h_{TG}) isometrically. We also assume that the action of G lifts to $S(TG)$ and that the G-action commutes with D. By Proposition 1.1 in [LM], we know that $\mathrm{Ind}D^G \in K_G(B)$. Now let us calculate the equivariant Chern character $\mathrm{ch}_\phi(\mathrm{Ind(D^G)})$ in terms of the fixed point data of $\phi \in G$. Set $M^\phi = \{x \in M, \phi x = x\}$. Then $\pi : M^\phi \to B$ is a fibration with compact fibre M_z^ϕ. By [BGV, Proposition 6.14], TG^ϕ is naturally oriented in M^ϕ. Let N denote the normal bundle of M^ϕ, then $N = TG/TG^\phi$. We fixed a fibre M_z and denote by $\widetilde{\phi}$ the lift of ϕ which maps $S(T(M_z)_x)$ to $S(T(M_z)_{\phi x})$. We denote by M_z^ϕ the fixed-point set of ϕ, and for $a = 0, \cdots, n$, we let $M_z^\phi = \bigcup_{0\le a\le n} M_{z,a}^\phi$, where $M_{z,a}^\phi$ is an a-dimensional submanifold. Given a fixed-point x_0 in a component $M_{z,a}^\phi$, consider some local coordinates $x = (x^1, \cdots, x^a)$ around x_0. Setting $b = n - a$, we may further assume that over the range of the domain of the local coordinates there is an orthonormal frame $e_1(x), \cdots, e_b(x)$ of N_z^ϕ. This defines fiber coordinates $v = (v_1, \cdots, v_b)$. Composing with the map $(x, v) \in N_z^\phi(\varepsilon_0) \to \exp_x(v)$ we then get local coordinates $x^1, \cdots, x^a, v^1, \cdots, v^b$ for M_z near the fixed point x_0. We shall refer to this type of coordinates as *tubular coordinates.* Then $N_z^\phi(\varepsilon_0)$ is homeomorphic with a tubular neighborhood of M_z^ϕ.

Theorem 3.1 ([LM]) *For any $t > 0$, the form $\mathrm{Str}[\widetilde{\phi}\psi_t\mathrm{exp}(-tF)]$ is closed and that its cohomology class is independent of t and represents $\mathrm{ch}_g(\mathrm{Ind}(D^G))$ in cohomology.*

We have

$$\mathrm{Str}[\widetilde{\phi}\psi_t\mathrm{exp}(-tF)] = \psi_t\int_{M_z}\mathrm{Str}[\widetilde{\phi}k_t^z(x,\phi(x))]dx = \psi_t\int_{M_z}\mathrm{Str}[\widetilde{\phi}K^z_{(F+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x,\phi(x),t)]dx. \tag{3.1}$$

Let $Q = (F + \partial_t)^{-2}$. For $x \in M_z^\phi$ and $t > 0$ set

$$I_Q(x,t) := \widetilde{\phi}(x)^{-1}\int_{N_x^\phi(\varepsilon)}\phi(\exp_x v)K_Q(\exp_x v, \exp_x(\phi'(x)v), t)dv. \tag{3.2}$$

Here we use the trivialization of $S(TM_z)$ about the tubular coordinates. Using the tubular coordinates, then

$$I_Q(x,t) = \int_{|v|<\varepsilon}\widetilde{\phi}(x,0)^{-1}\widetilde{\phi}(x,v)K_Q(x,v;x,\phi'(x)v;t)dv. \tag{3.3}$$

Let

$$q^E_{m-j}(x,v;\xi,\nu;\tau) := \widetilde{\phi}(x,0)^{-1}\widetilde{\phi}(x,v)q_{m-j}(x,v;\xi,\nu;\tau). \tag{3.4}$$

Recall

Proposition 3.2 ([PW]) *Let $Q \in \Psi^m_V(M_z \times \mathbb{R}, E)$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Uniformly on each component $M^\phi_{z,a}$*

$$I_Q(x,t) \sim \sum_{j\geq 0} t^{-(\frac{a}{2}+[\frac{m}{2}]+1)} I^j_Q(x) \qquad \text{as} \quad t \to 0^+, \tag{3.5}$$

where $I^j_Q(x)$ is defined by

$$I^{(j)}_Q(x) := \sum_{|\alpha|\leq m-[\frac{m}{2}]+2j} \int \frac{v^\alpha}{\alpha!}\left(\partial^\alpha_v q^E_{2[\frac{m}{2}]-2j+|\alpha|}\right)^\vee (x,0;0,(1-\phi'(x))v;1)dv. \tag{3.6}$$

Similar to Proposition 8.7 in [PW], we have

Proposition 3.3 *Let $P: \ C^\infty(M_z, \pi^* \wedge T^*_z B \otimes S(TM_z)) \to C^\infty(M_z, \pi^* \wedge T^*_z B \otimes S(TM_z))$ be a differential operator of order m.*
(1) *Uniformly on each component $M^\phi_{z,a}$,*

$$I_{P(F+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x,t) \sim \sum_{j\geq 0} t^{-(\frac{n}{2}+[\frac{m}{2}])+j} I^{(j)}_{P(F+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x) \qquad \text{as} \quad t \to 0^+, \tag{3.7}$$

(2) *As $t \to 0^+$, we have*

$$\psi_t \mathrm{Str}[\widetilde{\phi}Pe^{-tF}] \sim \sum_{0\leq a\leq n}\sum_{j\geq 0}\sum_{l=1}^{2^{\overline{q}}} t^{-(\frac{a}{2}+[\frac{m}{2}]+\frac{l}{2})+j} \int_{M^\phi_{z,a}} \mathrm{Str}[\widetilde{\phi} I^{(j),l}_{P(F+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x)]dx, \tag{3.8}$$

where $I^{(j),l}_{P(F+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x)$ denotes the l-degree component of $I^{(j)}_{P(F+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x)$ in $\wedge T^*_z B$.

Let

$$\widehat{A}(R^{G^\phi}) = \det{}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\frac{R^{G^\phi}/2}{\sinh(R^{G^\phi}/2)}\right); \quad \nu_\phi(R^{N^\phi}) ;= \det{}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(1-\phi^N e^{-R^{N^\phi}}). \tag{3.9}$$

Theorem 3.4 (Local equivariant family index theorem) *Let $x_0 \in M^\phi$, then*

$$\mathrm{lim}_{t\to 0}\mathrm{Str}\left[\widetilde{\phi}(x_0)\psi_t I_{(F+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x_0,t)\right] = (-i)^{\frac{n}{2}}(2\pi)^{-\frac{a}{2}}\left|\widehat{A}(R^{G^\phi})\nu_\phi(R^{N^\phi})\right|^{(a)}, \tag{3.10}$$

where $|\cdot|^{(a)}$ denotes the Berezin integral of the a-degree component in $\wedge T^*(M_z)$.

Remark. It is easy to generalize the theorem 3.4 to the twisting ϕ-complex vector bundle case.

By (3.1) and Lemma 8.1 in [PW], as $t \to 0^+$

$$\psi_t \int_{M_z} \mathrm{Str}[\widetilde{\phi} K_{(F+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x, \phi(x), t)]dx = \psi_t \int_{M_z} \mathrm{Str}[\widetilde{\phi} I_Q(x, \phi(x), t)]dx, \tag{3.11}$$

By Theorem 3.1, Theorem 3.4 and (3.1), (3.11), we get a representative of $\mathrm{ch}_\phi(\mathrm{Ind}D^G)$.

Let $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ be an oriented orthonormal basis of $T_{x_0}M_z$ such that $e_1, \cdots, e_a$ span $T_{x_0}M_z^\phi$ and $e_{a+1}, \cdots, e_n$ span $N_{x_0}^\phi$. This provides us with normal coordinates $(x_1, \cdots, x_n) \to \exp_{x_0}(x^1 e_1 + \cdots + x^n e_n)$. Moreover using parallel translation enables us to construct a synchronous local oriented tangent frame $e_1(x), ..., e_n(x)$ such that $e_1(x), \cdots, e_a(x)$ form an oriented frame of $TM_{z,a}^\phi$ and $e_{a+1}(x), \cdots, e_n(x)$ form an (oriented) frame N^ϕ (when both frames are restricted to M_z^ϕ). This gives rise to trivializations of the tangent and spinor bundles. Write

$$\phi'(0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi^N \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\wedge(n) = \wedge_{\mathbb{C}}^* \mathbb{R}^n$ be the complexified exterior algebra of $\mathbb{R}^n$.We shall use the following gradings on $\wedge(n)$,

$$\wedge(n) = \bigoplus_{1\le j\le n} \wedge^j(n) = \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \le k \le a \\ 1 \le \bar{l} \le b}} \wedge^{k,\bar{l}}(n),$$

where $\wedge^j(n)$ is the space of forms of degree j and $\wedge^{k,\bar{l}}(n)$ is the space of forms $dx^{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{i_{k+\bar{l}}}$ with $1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_k \le a$ and $a+1 \le i_{k+1} < \cdots < i_{k+\bar{l}} \le n$. Given a form $\omega \in \wedge(n)$ we shall denote by $\omega^{(j)}$ (resp., $\omega^{(k,\bar{l})}$) its component in $\wedge^j(n)$ (resp.,$\wedge^{k,\bar{l}}(n)$). We denote by $|\omega|^{(a,0)}$ the Berezin integral $|\omega^{(*,0)}|^{(a,0)}$ of its component $\omega^{(*,0)}$ in $\wedge^{(*,0)}(n)$.

Lemma 3.5 *Let* $A \in \mathrm{End}(S_n)$, *then*

$$\mathrm{Str}[\widetilde{\phi}A] = (-2i)^{\frac{n}{2}} 2^{-\frac{b}{2}} \det{}^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-\phi^N)|\sigma(A)|^{(a,0)} + (-2i)^{\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{0\le b'<b} |\sigma(\widetilde{\phi})^{(0,b')}\sigma(A)^{(a,b-b')}|^{(n)}. \tag{3.12}$$

Similar to Proposition 2.21, we get the same expression of the model operator of F in the trivialization of E over U induced by the parallel transport map $\tau(x_0, x)$ about ∇^{TG} and the normal coordinate. We will compute the local index in this trivialization.

Lemma 3.6 $Q \in \Psi_V^*(\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}, S) \otimes \wedge(T_z^* B)$ *has the Getzler order* m *and model operator* $Q_{(m)}$. *Then as* $t \to 0^+$

(1) $\sigma[\psi_t I_Q(0,t)]^{(j)} = \Omega^{\mathrm{odd}}(B)O(t^{\frac{j-m-a-2}{2}}) + O(t^{\frac{j-m-a-1}{2}})$ *if* $m-j$ *is odd.*

(2) $\sigma[\psi_t I_Q(0,t)]^{(j)} = O(t^{\frac{j-m-a-2}{2}})I_{Q(m)}(0,1)^{(j)} + \Omega^{\mathrm{odd}}(B)O(t^{\frac{j-m-a-1}{2}}) + O(t^{\frac{j-m-a}{2}})$ *if* $m-j$ *is even.*

In particular, for $m=-2$ *and* $j=a$ *we get*

$$\sigma[\psi_t I_Q(0,t)]^{(a,0)} = I_{Q(-2)}(0,1)^{(a,0)} + O(t^{\frac{1}{2}}). \tag{3.13}$$

Proof. By the change of variable formula for symbol, similar to (9.23) in [PW], we have

$$\sigma[\psi_t I_Q(0,t)]^{(j)} \sim \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|+|\beta|-|\gamma|-\bar{l}\ \text{even} \\ \bar{1}\leq m',\ 2|\gamma|<|\beta|}} \sum_{l=1}^{2^{\bar{q}}} t^{\frac{|\alpha|+|\beta|-|\gamma|-l-\bar{l}-(a+2)}{2}} I^{(j)}_{\bar{l}\alpha\beta\gamma,l}, \tag{3.14}$$

where m' is the operator order of Q and

$$I^{(j)}_{\bar{l}\alpha\beta\gamma,l} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^b} a_{\beta\gamma,\bar{l}}(0,v)\frac{v^\alpha}{\alpha!}\left(\partial_v^\alpha\sigma[\xi^\gamma D_\xi^\beta q_{\bar{l},l}]^{(j)}\right)^\vee(0,0;0,(1-\phi^N(0))v;1)dv\omega^{[l]}, \tag{3.15}$$

and the $a_{\beta\gamma,\bar{l}}(x',v)$ are smooth functions such that $a_{\beta\gamma,\bar{l}}(x)=1$ when $\beta=\gamma=0$. Since Q has the Getzler order m, then all the coefficients $I^{(j)}_{\bar{l}\alpha\beta\gamma,l}$ with $\bar{l}+j+l-|\alpha|>m$ is zero. So, if $\bar{l}+j+l-|\alpha|\leq m$ and $2|\gamma|\leq|\beta|$, then $t^{\frac{|\alpha|+|\beta|-|\gamma|-l-\bar{l}-(a+2)}{2}}$ is $O(t^{\frac{j-m-a-2}{2}})$ and even is $o(t^{\frac{j-m-a-2}{2}})$ if we have $\bar{l}+j+l-|\alpha|<m$ or $(\beta,\gamma)\neq(0,0)$.

Observe that terms in asymptotic (3.14) containing integer powers (resp. half integer powers) of t when l is even (resp. odd) since a is even. When $m-j$ is odd, the leading term is $O(t^{\frac{j-m-a-2}{2}})$ which is a half integer, so its coefficient in $\Omega^{\mathrm{odd}}(B)$ and (1) is correct. When $m-j$ is even, similar discussions show that

$$\sigma[\psi_t I_Q(0,t)]^{(j)} = \Omega^{\mathrm{even}}(B)O(t^{\frac{j-m-a-2}{2}}) + \Omega^{\mathrm{odd}}(B)O(t^{\frac{j-m-a-1}{2}}) + O(t^{\frac{j-m-a}{2}}). \tag{3.16}$$

Then similar to the discussions in [PW], we prove (2). □

By (3.12) and Lemma 3.6 (2) and (3.13) and Lemma 9.13 in [PW], we get Theorem 3.4.

4 The equivariant JLO character for a family of the Dirac operators

In [CH], Chern and Hu computed the equivariant JLO characters for the invariant Dirac operators. In [Az2], Azmi computed the JLO characters for a family of Dirac operators. In [Az3], Azmi constructed an equivariant bivariant cyclic theory, as a combination of equivariant cyclic and noncommutative de Rham theories for unital G-Banach algebras, where G is a compact Lie group. By incorporating the

JLO formula and the superconnection formalism of Quillen, an equivariant bivariant JLO character of Kasparovs G-bimodule is defined, with values in the bivariant cyclic theory. In this section, following the ideas in [CH], [Z2] and [Fe], we compute the equivariant JLO characters for a family of the Dirac operators.

Let $C^1(M)$ be the Banach algebra which is the completion of $C^\infty(M)$ with respect to the norm $|f| := ||f|| + ||[D,f]||$, for $f \in C^\infty(M)$. The commutator $[D,f]$ extends to a bounded operator on $\mathcal{H} = L^2(M, S(TG))$. The algebra $C^1(M)$ acts on $L(\mathcal{H})$ (bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$) by multiplication. Thus, $(\mathcal{H}, D)$ defines a θ-summable Fredholm module on $C(M)$. Denote by Δ the projective tensor product of the Banach algebras $C^1(M)$ and $C^\infty(B)$, i.e $\Delta = C^\infty(B)\hat{\otimes}C^1(M)$, with the projective tensor product norm.

Let $\mathcal{M} = \wedge B \otimes \mathcal{H}$ be a $\Delta - C^\infty(B)$ bimodule, where Δ acts on the left of $\mathcal{M}$ by letting $C^\infty(B)$ act on $\wedge(B)$ by multiplication by left and $C^1(M)$ acts on $\mathcal{H}$ while $\wedge(B)$ acts on $\mathcal{M}$ by multiplication from right. And there is a continuous $C^\infty(B)$ valued inner product on $\mathcal{M}$. There is an obvious continuous action of $\phi \in G$ on $\mathcal{M}$, by letting ϕ act on $\mathcal{H}$ via $\widetilde{\phi}$ and on $\wedge B$ via the identity map. Let $\mathcal{B}_t = \sqrt{t}\psi_t(\mathcal{B})$ be the rescaled Bismut' superconnection with the rescaled curvature $F_t = \mathcal{B}_t^2 = t\psi_t(F)$. If $h_i \otimes f_i \in \Delta,\ 0 \leq i \leq 2k$ are operators on $\mathcal{M}$, we define the equivariant bivariant JLO character:

$$\mathrm{Ch}_{2k}(\mathcal{B}_t)(\phi)(h_0 \otimes f_0, \cdots, h_{2k} \otimes f_{2k}) = t^k \int_{\triangle_{2k}} \mathrm{Str}\Big[\psi_t\widetilde{\phi}h_0 \otimes f_0 e^{-ts_1F}$$

$$\cdot[\mathcal{B}, h_1 \otimes f_1]e^{-t(s_2-s_1)F} \cdots [\mathcal{B}, h_{2k} \otimes f_{2k}]e^{-t(1-s_{2k})F}\Big]\, ds, \tag{4.1}$$

where $\triangle_{2k} = \{(s_1, \cdots, s_{2k})|\ 0 \leq s_1 \leq \cdots \leq s_{2k} \leq 1\}$ is the simplex in $\mathbb{R}^{2k}$. We will compute

$$\mathrm{lim}_{t\to 0}\mathrm{Ch}_{2k}(\mathcal{B}_t)(\phi).$$

In the following, we give some estimates about $\mathrm{Ch}_{2k}(\mathcal{B}_t)(\phi)$. Since B is compact, we can fix a fibre M_z and estimate $\mathrm{Ch}_{2k}(\mathcal{B}_t)(\phi)$. Let H be a Hilbert space. For $q \geq 0$, denote by $||.||_q$ Schatten p-norm on the Schatten ideal L^p. $L(H)$ denotes the Banach algebra of bounded operators on H.

Lemma 4.1 ([CH],[Fe]) *(i)* $\mathrm{Tr}(AB) = \mathrm{Tr}(BA)$, *for* $A,\ B \in L(H)$ *and* $AB,\ BA \in L^1$.
(ii) For $A \in L^1$, *we have* $|\mathrm{Tr}(A)| \leq ||A||_1$, $||A|| \leq ||A||_1$.
(iii) For $A \in L^q$ *and* $B \in L(H)$, *we have:* $||AB||_q \leq ||B||||A||_q$, $||BA||_q \leq ||B||||A||_q$.
(iv) (Hölder Inequality) If $\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q},\ p,q,r > 0,\ A \in L^p,\ B \in L^q$, *then* $AB \in L^r$ *and* $||AB||_r \leq ||A||_p||B||_q$.

Lemma 4.2 *For any* $u > 0,\ t > 0$ *and* t *is small and any order* l *fibrewise differential operator* $\overline{B}$ *with form coefficients, we have:*

$$||e^{-utF}\overline{B}||_{u^{-1}} \leq C_l u^{-\frac{l}{2}} t^{-\frac{l}{2}} (\mathrm{tr}[e^{-\frac{tD^2}{2}}])^u. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. By (2.7), we have

$$||e^{-utF}\overline{B}||_{u^{-1}} = ||\sum_{m\geq 0}(-ut)^m\int_{\triangle_m} e^{-v_0utD^2}F_{[+]}e^{-v_1utD^2}$$

$$\cdot F_{[+]}\cdots e^{-v_{m-1}utD^2}F_{[+]}e^{-v_mutD^2}\overline{B}dv||_{u^{-1}}, \tag{4.3}$$

We estimate the term of $m = 2$ in the right hand of (4.3), other terms are similar. We split $\triangle(2) = J_0 \cup J_1 \cup J_2$ where $J_i = \{(v_0, v_1, v_2) \in \Delta(2)|v_i \geq \frac{1}{3}\}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&(ut)^2||\int_{J_0} e^{-v_0utD^2}F_{[+]}e^{-v_1utD^2}F_{[+]}e^{-v_2utD^2}\overline{B}dv||_{u^{-1}}\\
&\leq (ut)^2\int_{J_0}||e^{-\frac{v_0ut}{2}D^2}||_{(uv_0)^{-1}}||e^{-\frac{v_0ut}{2}D^2}(1+D^2)^{\frac{l+2}{2}}||||(1+D^2)^{-\frac{l+2}{2}}F_{[+]}(1+D^2)^{\frac{l+1}{2}}||\\
&\cdot||e^{-v_1utD^2}||_{(uv_1)^{-1}}||(1+D^2)^{-\frac{l+1}{2}}F_{[+]}(1+D^2)^{\frac{l}{2}}||||e^{-v_2utD^2}||_{(uv_2)^{-1}}||1+D^2)^{-\frac{l}{2}}\overline{B}||dv\\
&\leq (ut)^2\int_{J_0}\left(\mathrm{Tr}e^{-\frac{t}{2}D^2}\right)^{uv_0}\left(\mathrm{Tr}e^{-tD^2}\right)^{u(v_1+v_2)}(uv_0t)^{-\frac{l+2}{2}}\\
&\cdot||(1+D^2)^{-\frac{l+2}{2}}F_{[+]}(1+D^2)^{\frac{l+1}{2}}||||(1+D^2)^{-\frac{l+1}{2}}F_{[+]}(1+D^2)^{\frac{l}{2}}||||1+D^2)^{-\frac{l}{2}}\overline{B}||dv\\
&\leq C_2\left(\mathrm{Tr}e^{-\frac{t}{2}D^2}\right)^{u}(ut)^{-\frac{l}{2}+1} \qquad\qquad (4.4)
\end{aligned}$$

where we use $F_{[+]}$ is a fibrewise first order differential operator and the equality

$$\sup\{(1+x)^{\frac{l}{2}}e^{-\frac{utx}{2}}\} = (ut)^{-\frac{l}{2}}e^{-\frac{l-ut}{2}}. \tag{4.5}$$

In the above estimate, we omit the norm of coefficient forms since B is compact. For J_1 and J_2 we have similar estimates. For the general m, we get

$$||(-ut)^m\int_{\triangle_m} e^{-v_0utD^2}F_{[+]}e^{-v_1utD^2}F_{[+]}\cdots e^{-v_{m-1}utD^2}$$

$$\cdot F_{[+]}e^{-v_mutD^2}\overline{B}dv||_{u^{-1}} \leq C_2\left(\mathrm{Tr}e^{-\frac{t}{2}D^2}\right)^{u}(ut)^{-\frac{l}{2}+\frac{m}{2}}. \tag{4.6}$$

By (4.3) and (4.6), we get (4.2). □

Lemma 4.3 *Let $\overline{B}_1$, $\overline{B}_2$ be positive order p, q fibrewise pseudodifferential operators with form coefficients respectively, then for any s, $t > 0$, $0 \leq u \leq 1$, we have the following estimate:*

$$||\overline{B}_1e^{-ustF}\overline{B}_2e^{-(1-u)stF}||_{s^{-1}} \leq C_{p,q}s^{-\frac{p+q}{2}}t^{-\frac{p+q}{2}}(\mathrm{tr}[e^{-\frac{tD^2}{4}}])^s. \tag{4.7}$$

Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 4.2, we have when t is small and $u \geq \frac{1}{2}$,

$$||(1+D^2)^{-\frac{q}{2}}e^{-(u-\frac{1}{2})stF}(1+D^2)^{\frac{q}{2}}|| \leq C_0; \quad ||e^{-(1-u)stF}|| \leq C_1, \tag{4.8}$$

where C_0, C_1 are constants which do not depend on t, u. When $u \geq \frac{1}{2}$, by Lemma 4.2 and (4.8), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & ||\overline{B}_1 e^{-ustF}\overline{B}_2 e^{-(1-u)stF}||_{s^{-1}} \\ & \leq ||\overline{B}_1(1+D^2)^{-\frac{p}{2}}||||(1+D^2)^{\frac{p}{2}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}stF}(1+D^2)^{\frac{q}{2}}||_{s^{-1}} \\ & ||(1+D^2)^{-\frac{q}{2}}e^{-(u-\frac{1}{2})stF}(1+D^2)^{\frac{q}{2}}||||(1+D^2)^{-\frac{q}{2}}\overline{B}_2||||e^{-(1-u)stF}|| \\ & \leq C_{p,q}s^{-\frac{p+q}{2}}t^{-\frac{p+q}{2}}(\mathrm{tr}[e^{-\frac{tD^2}{4}}])^s, \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

where we use

$$|(1+D^2)^{\frac{p}{2}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}stF}(1+D^2)^{\frac{q}{2}}||_{s^{-1}} = ||e^{-\frac{1}{2}stF}(1+D^2)^{\frac{p+q}{2}}||_{s^{-1}}. \tag{4.10}$$

When $u \leq \frac{1}{2}$, by Lemma 4.2, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & ||\overline{B}_1 e^{-ustF}\overline{B}_2 e^{-(1-u)stF}||_{s^{-1}} \\ & \leq ||\overline{B}_1 e^{-ustF}(1+D^2)^{-\frac{p}{2}}||||(1+D^2)^{\frac{p}{2}}\overline{B}_2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}stF}||_{s^{-1}}||e^{-(1-u-\frac{1}{2})stF}|| \\ & \leq C_{p,q}s^{-\frac{p+q}{2}}t^{-\frac{p+q}{2}}(\mathrm{tr}[e^{-\frac{tD^2}{4}}])^s, \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

By (4.9) and (4.11), we prove this lemma. □

Let $\overline{B}$ be a fibrewise operator with form coefficients and l be a positive interger. Write

$$\overline{B}^{[l]} = [F, \overline{B}^{[l-1]}], \ \overline{B}^{[0]} = \overline{B}.$$

Lemma 4.4 *Let $\overline{B}$ a finite order fibrewise differential operator with form coefficients , then for any $s > 0$, we have:*

$$e^{-sF}\overline{B} = \sum_{l=0}^{N-1}\frac{(-1)^l}{l!}s^l\overline{B}^{[l]}e^{-sF} + (-1)^N s^N \overline{B}^{[N]}(s), \tag{4.12}$$

where $\overline{B}^{[N]}(s)$ is given by

$$\overline{B}^{[N]}(s) = \int_{\triangle_N} e^{-u_1 sF}\overline{B}^{[N]}e^{-(1-u_1)sF}du_1du_2\cdots du_N. \tag{4.13}$$

Proof. Here we use Lemma 1.9 in [BeC],

$$[A, e^{-F}] = -\int_0^1 e^{-sF}[A,F]e^{-(1-s)F}ds, \tag{4.14}$$

then similar to Lemma 3 in [Fe], we can prove this lemma. □

For $h_j \otimes f_j$, we have

$$[\mathcal{B}, h_j \otimes f_j] = d_B(h_j \otimes f_j) \wedge + h \otimes [D, f]. \tag{4.15}$$

Write T_j is $d_B(h_j \otimes f_j)\wedge$ or $h \otimes [D, f], 1 \leq j \leq 2k$ and $T_0 = h_0 \otimes f_0$. By Lemma 4.4, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&T_0 e^{-s_1 tF} T_1 e^{-(s_2 - s_1)tF} T_2 \cdots e^{-(s_{2k} - s_{2k-1})tF} T_{2k} e^{-(1-s_{2k})tF} \\
&= \sum_{\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_{2k}=0}^{N-1} \frac{(-1)^{\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{2k}} {s_1}^{\lambda_1} \cdots s_{2k}^{\lambda_{2k}} t^{\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{2k}}}{\lambda_1! \cdots \lambda_{2k}!} T_0 [T_1]^{[\lambda_1]} \cdots [T_{2k}]^{[\lambda_{2k}]} e^{-tF} \\
&+ \sum_{1 \leq q \leq 2k} \sum_{\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_{q-1}=0}^{N-1} \frac{(-1)^{\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{q-1} + N} s_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots s_{q-1}^{\lambda_{q-1}} s_q^N t^{\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{q-1} + N}}{\lambda_1! \cdots \lambda_{q-1}!} T_0 [T_1]^{[\lambda_1]} \\
&\cdots [T_{q-1}]^{[\lambda_{q-1}]} \{[T_q]^{[N]}(s_q t)\} e^{-(s_{q+1} - s_q)tD^2} \cdots T_{2k} e^{-(1-s_{2k})tF}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

Since $T_0[T_1]^{[\lambda_1]} \cdots [T_{q-1}]^{[\lambda_{q-1}]}$ is a $\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{q-1}$ order differential operator and by the lemma 4.2 and the lemma 4.3, we get (see pp. 61-62 in [Fe])

$$\begin{aligned}
&||\psi_t \int_{\Delta_{2k}} t^k \sum_{1 \leq q \leq 2k} \sum_{\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_{q-1}=0}^{N-1} \frac{(-1)^{\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{q-1} + N} s_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots s_{q-1}^{\lambda_{q-1}} s_q^N t^{\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{q-1} + N}}{\lambda_1! \cdots \lambda_{q-1}!} T_0 [T_1]^{[\lambda_1]} \\
&\cdots [T_{q-1}]^{[\lambda_{q-1}]} \{[T_q]^{[N]}(s_q t)\} e^{-(s_{q+1} - s_q)tD^2} \cdots T_{2k} e^{-(1-s_{2k})tF} dv|| \sim O(t^{\frac{2k + \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{2q} - \mathrm{dim} M}{2}}).
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

So we get

Theorem 4.5 (1) *if* $2k \leq \mathrm{dim} M$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Ch}_{2k}(\mathcal{B}_t)(\phi)(h_0 \otimes f_0, \cdots, h_{2k} \otimes f_{2k}) \\
&= \psi_t \sum_{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{2k}=0}^{\mathrm{dim} M - 2k} \frac{(-1)^{\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{2k}}}{\lambda_1! \cdots \lambda_{2k}!} C t^{|\lambda| + k} \mathrm{Str}[\widetilde{\phi} T_0 [T_1]^{[\lambda_1]} \cdots [T_{2k}]^{[\lambda_{2k}]} e^{-tF}] + O(\sqrt{t}),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

with the constant

$$C = \frac{1}{\lambda_1 + 1} \frac{1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2} \cdots \frac{1}{\lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_{2k} + 2k}. \tag{4.19}$$

(2) *if* $2k > \mathrm{dim} M$, *then*

$$\mathrm{Ch}_{2k}(\mathcal{B}_t)(\phi)(h_0 \otimes f_0, \cdots, h_{2k} \otimes f_{2k}) = 0. \tag{4.20}$$

Write $P_{k,\lambda} := T_0[T_1]^{[\lambda_1]}\cdots[T_{2k}]^{[\lambda_{2k}]}$. We will compute

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+}\psi_t t^{|\lambda|+k}\mathrm{Str}[\widetilde{\phi}P_{k,\lambda}e^{-tF}].$$

By Lemma 2.18 and Proposition 2.21, we have

$$\sigma(P_{k,\lambda}) = T_0[F_{(2)},\sigma(T_1)]^{[\lambda_1]}\cdots[F_{(2)},\sigma(T_{2k})]^{[\lambda_{2k}]} + O_G(2|\lambda|+2k-1). \tag{4.21}$$

Direct computations show that

$$[F_{(2)},\sigma(T_j)] = O_G(2),\quad O_G([F_{(2)},\sigma(T_j)]^{[\lambda_j]}) < 2\lambda_j+1. \tag{4.22}$$

Then when $(\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_{2k})\neq(0,\cdots,0)$, then

$$O_G(P_{k,\lambda}) = O_G(2|\lambda|+2k-1);\quad O_G(P_{k,\lambda}(F+\partial_t)^{-1}) = O_G(2|\lambda|+2k-3). \tag{4.23}$$

By Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.6 (1), we have

$$\sigma[\psi_t I_{P_{k,\lambda}(F+\partial_t)^{-1}}(0,t)]^{(a,0)} = \Omega^{\mathrm{odd}}(B)O(t^{-|\lambda|-k+\frac{1}{2}}) + O(t^{-|\lambda|-k+1}) \tag{4.24}$$

So in this case,

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+}\psi_t t^{|\lambda|+k}\mathrm{Str}[\widetilde{\phi}P_{k,\lambda}e^{-tF}] = 0. \tag{4.25}$$

When $(\lambda_1,\cdots,\lambda_{2k}) = (0,\cdots,0)$. Then $O_G(T_0T_1\cdots T_{2k}) = 2k$ and $O_G(P_{k,\lambda}(F+\partial_t)^{-1}) = O_G(2k-2)$. The model operator of $P_{k,\lambda}(F+\partial_t)^{-1}$ is $T_0\sigma(T_1)\cdots\sigma(T_{2k})(F_{(2)}+\partial_t)^{-1}$. By the lemma 3.6 (2), we get

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+}t^k\sigma[\psi_t I_{P_{k,\lambda}(F+\partial_t)^{-1}}(0,t)]^{(a,0)} = T_0\sigma(T_1)\cdots\sigma(T_{2k})I_{(F_{(2)}+\partial_t)^{-1}}(0,1)^{(a,0)}. \tag{4.26}$$

By (4.25) and (4.26) and the lemma 9.13 in [PW], we get

Theorem 4.6

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+}\mathrm{Ch}_{2k}(\mathcal{B}_t)(\phi)(h_0\otimes f_0,\cdots,h_{2k}\otimes f_{2k})$$
$$= \frac{1}{(2k)!}(-i)^{\frac{n}{2}}\sum_a(2\pi)^{-\frac{a}{2}}\int_{M_a^\phi/B}T_0\sigma(T_1)\cdots\sigma(T_{2k})\widehat{A}(R^{G^\phi})\nu_\phi(R^{N^\phi}). \tag{4.27}$$

5 The regularity of the equivariant eta form

5.1 The regularity of the equivariant eta invariant

In this section, we firstly will prove the regularity of the equivariant eta invariant by the Greiner's approach of the heat kernel asymptotics. Then we define the equivariant eta form and prove its regularity.

Let X be a compact oriented odd dimensional Riemannian manifold without boundary with a fixed spin structure and S be the bundle of spinors on X. Denote by

D the associated Dirac operator on $H = L^2(X;S)$, the Hilbert space of L^2-sections of the bundle X. Suppose that ϕ acts on X by orientation-preserving isometries and ϕ has a lift $\widetilde{\phi}: \Gamma(S) \to \Gamma(S)$ (see [LYZ]), then we have $\widetilde{\phi}$ commutes with the Dirac operator and $\widetilde{\phi}$ is a bounded operator. Then the equivariant eta invariant is defined by

$$\eta_\phi(D) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{t^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathrm{Tr}[\widetilde{\phi} D e^{-tD^2}] dt. \tag{5.1}$$

Theorem 5.1 ([Z3]) *As* $t \to 0^+$

$$\mathrm{Tr}[\widetilde{\phi} D e^{-tD^2}] \sim O(t^{\frac{1}{2}}). \tag{5.2}$$

We introduce an auxiliary Grassmann variable z as in [BiF] and set $O_G(z) = 1$. By the Duhamel principle, we have

$$\exp(-t(D^2 - zD)) = \exp(-tD^2) + ztD\exp(-tD^2). \tag{5.3}$$

Set

$$h(x) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} z \sum_{i=1}^n x_i c(e_i), \tag{5.4}$$

where $(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ is the normal coordinates under the parallel frame $e_1, \cdots, e_n$ and we consider h as $h\chi$ where χ is a cut function about $(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$. By [Z3], we have

$$hc(e_i)h^{-1} = c(e_i) + O_G(0); \quad h(D^2 - zD)h^{-1} = D^2 + zu, \tag{5.5}$$

where $O_G(u) \leq 0$, u contains no z and the equality

$$ztD\exp(-tD^2)(x,y) = h^{-1}(x)\exp(-t(D^2 + zu)(x,y))h(y) - \exp(-tD^2)(x,y) \tag{5.6}$$

Let

$$(D^2 + zu)^{-1} = D^{-2} - zD^{-2}uD^{-2}. \tag{5.7}$$

We may consider $D^2 + zu$ as the operator with 1-form coefficients on $X \times S^1$. Then the Greiner's approach of the heat kernel asymptotics with form coeffcients in the section 2.1 is correct for $D^2 + zu$. By

$$(D^2 + zu + \partial_t)^{-1} = (D + \partial_t)^{-2} - z(D + \partial_t)^{-2}u(D + \partial_t)^{-2}. \tag{5.8}$$

$$K_{(D^2+zu+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x,y,t) = K_{(D+\partial_t)^{-2}}(x,y,t) - zK_{(D+\partial_t)^{-2}u(D+\partial_t)^{-2}}(x,y,t). \tag{5.9}$$

Then

$$\widetilde{\phi}(x)h^{-1}(x)K_{(D^2+zu+\partial_t)^{-1}}(x,\phi(x),t)h(\phi(x)) = \widetilde{\phi}(x)h^{-1}(x)K_{(D+\partial_t)^{-2}}(x,\phi(x),t)h(\phi(x))$$

$$-z\widetilde{\phi}(x)K_{(D+\partial_t)^{-2}u(D+\partial_t)^{-2}}(x,\phi(x),t). \tag{5.10}$$

Recall since the dimension n is odd, we have

$$\operatorname{Tr}[c(e^{i_1})\cdots c(e^{i_k})]=\left\{\begin{array}{cl} 2^{[n/2]} & \text{if } \ k=0 \\ 0 & \text{if } 0<k<n \\ (-i)^{[n/2]+1}2^{[n/2]} & \text{if } \ k=n \end{array}\right. \tag{5.11}$$

Similar to the lemma 3.5, we have:

Lemma 5.2 *Let* $A\in \mathrm{Cl}^{\mathrm{odd}}(n)\subset \mathrm{End}(S_n)$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Tr}[\widetilde{\phi}A]&=(-i)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}2^{\frac{n-1}{2}}2^{-\frac{b}{2}}{\det}^{\frac{1}{2}}(1-\phi^N)|\sigma(A)|^{(a,0)}\\ &+(-i)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}2^{\frac{n-1}{2}}\sum_{0\leq b'<b}|\sigma(\widetilde{\phi})^{(0,b')}\sigma(A)^{(a,b-b')}|^{(n)}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

We know that the lemma 9.12 in [PW] is also correct for the odd dimensional manifold X and a is odd. $(D+\partial_t)^{-2}u(D+\partial_t)^{-2}$ has the Getzler order -4 and odd Clifford elements. By Lemma 8.1 and Lemma 9.12 in [PW] and the lemma 5.2 for $(D+\partial_t)^{-2}u(D+\partial_t)^{-2}$ and $j\geq a$, so

$$\int_X \operatorname{Tr}[\widetilde{\phi}K_{(D+\partial_t)^{-2}u(D+\partial_t)^{-2}}(x,\phi(x),t)]dx=O(t^{\frac{3}{2}}). \tag{5.13}$$

By (5.10) and (5.13), in order to the theorem 5.1, we need to prove

$$\widetilde{\phi}(x)h^{-1}(x)K_{(D+\partial_t)^{-2}}(x,\phi(x),t)h(\phi(x))=\widetilde{\phi}(x)K_{(D+\partial_t)^{-2}}(x,\phi(x),t)+O(t^{\frac{3}{2}}). \tag{5.14}$$

This comes from the equality

$$h^{-1}(x',v)c(e_i)h(x',\phi'(x)v)=c(e_i)+\frac{1}{2}zc(e_i)\sum_{j=a+1}^{n}[(\phi'(x)-1)v]_jc(e_j)-zx_i, \tag{5.15}$$

and $\widetilde{\phi}$ only contains the Clifford elements $c(e_{a+1}),\cdots,c(e_n)$.

5.2 The regularity of the equivariant eta form

In this section, the fundamental setup is the same as the section 2.1. But we assume the dimension n of the fibre is odd. Let ϕ be an isometry which acts fiberwise on M. We will consider that ϕ acts as identity on B. Let $\mathrm{Tr}^{\mathrm{even}}$ denote taking trace on the coefficients of even forms on B. Then the equivariant eta form is defined by

$$\widehat{\eta}(\phi):=\int_0^\infty \mathrm{Tr}^{\mathrm{even}}[\widetilde{\phi}\frac{d\mathcal{B}_t}{dt}e^{-\mathcal{B}_t^2}]dt. \tag{5.16}$$

Let T be the torsion tensor of $\nabla^{\oplus}$ and $c(T)=\sum_{1\leq\alpha<\beta\leq m}dy_\alpha dy_\beta c(T(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_\alpha},\frac{\partial}{\partial y_\beta}))$. Then

$$\widehat{\eta}(\phi)=\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}}\mathrm{Tr}^{\mathrm{even}}[\psi_t\widetilde{\phi}(D+\frac{c(T)}{4})e^{-tF}]dt. \tag{5.17}$$

Lemma 5.3 *As $t \to +\infty$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}}\mathrm{Tr}^{\mathrm{even}}[\psi_t\widetilde{\phi}(D+\frac{c(T)}{4})e^{-tF}] \sim O(t^{-\frac{3}{2}}). \tag{5.18}$$

Proof. By (2.7), we have

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}}\mathrm{Tr}^{\mathrm{even}}[\psi_t\widetilde{\phi}(D+\frac{c(T)}{4})e^{-tF}] = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}}\sum_{m\geq 0}(-t)^m\int_{\triangle_m}\mathrm{Tr}^{\mathrm{even}}[\psi_t\widetilde{\phi}De^{-\sigma_0tD^2}$$

$$\cdot F_{[+]}e^{-\sigma_1tD^2}F_{[+]}\cdots e^{-\sigma_{k-1}tD^2}F_{[+]}e^{-\sigma_ktD^2}]d\sigma$$

$$+\frac{1}{2t^{\frac{3}{2}}}\sum_{m\geq 0}(-t)^m\int_{\triangle_m}\mathrm{Tr}^{\mathrm{even}}[\widetilde{\phi}\frac{c(T)}{4}\psi_te^{-\sigma_0tD^2}F_{[+]}$$

$$\cdot e^{-\sigma_1tD^2}F_{[+]}\cdots e^{-\sigma_{k-1}tD^2}F_{[+]}e^{-\sigma_ktD^2}]d\sigma \tag{5.19}$$

Using the differential operator $F_{[+]}$ instead of $c(df_i)$ in the lemma 2 in [CM2], although $F_{[+]}$ is unbounded operator, we can use the similar estimates as in the lemma 4.4 in [BeC] and get

$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{t}}\sum_{m\geq 0}(-t)^m\int_{\triangle_m}\mathrm{Tr}^{\mathrm{even}}[\psi_t\widetilde{\phi}De^{-\sigma_0tD^2}$$

$$\cdot F_{[+]}e^{-\sigma_1tD^2}F_{[+]}\cdots e^{-\sigma_{k-1}tD^2}F_{[+]}e^{-\sigma_ktD^2}]d\sigma \sim O(t^{-\frac{3}{2}}). \tag{5.20}$$

Let $\mathcal{B} = D + B_0 + B_1$, then $F_{[+]} = [D, B_0 + B_1] + (B_0 + B_1)^2$. $(B_0 + B_1)^2$ is a bounded operator. In the second term in (5.19), if D emerges, then by the above discussions, it is $O(t^{-\frac{3}{2}})$. Otherwise, $(B_0+B_1)^2$ in each $F_{[+]}$ emerges, it is still $O(t^{-\frac{3}{2}})$. $\square$

Let $e_1(x), \cdots e_n(x)$ denote the orthonormal frame of TG. If $A(Y)$ is any 0 order operator depending linearly on $Y \in TM$, we define the operator $(\nabla_{e_i} + A(e_i))^2$ as follows

$$(\nabla_{e_i}+A(e_i))^2 = \sum_1^n(\nabla_{e_i(x)}+A(e_i(x)))^2 - \nabla_{\sum_j\nabla_{e_j}e_j} - A(\sum_j\nabla_{e_j}e_j). \tag{5.21}$$

Then by [Bi2], we have

$$F = -(\nabla^G_{e_i}+\frac{1}{2}<S(e_i)e_j, f_\alpha> e_jdy_\alpha + \frac{1}{4}<S(e_i)f_\alpha, f_\beta> dy_\alpha dy_\beta)^2 + \frac{r_G}{4}. \tag{5.22}$$

Set

$$h(x) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}dt\sum_{i=1}^n x_ie_i. \tag{5.23}$$

Then we have

$$he_ih^{-1} = e_i + dtO_G(\leq -1); \quad h(\frac{1}{2}e_idt)h^{-1} = \frac{1}{2}e_idt, \tag{5.24}$$

$$h\nabla^G_{e_i}h^{-1}=\nabla^G_{e_i}-\frac{1}{2}dte_i+dtO_G(\leq -1); \tag{5.25}$$

$$h(\frac{1}{2}<S(e_i)e_j,f_\alpha>e_jdy_\alpha)h^{-1}=\frac{1}{2}<S(e_i)e_j,f_\alpha>e_jdy_\alpha+dtO_G(\leq -1); \tag{5.26}$$

$$h(\frac{1}{4}<S(e_i)f_\alpha,f_\beta>dy_\alpha dy_\beta)h^{-1}=\frac{1}{4}<S(e_i)f_\alpha,f_\beta>dy_\alpha dy_\beta. \tag{5.27}$$

By the proposition 2.10 in [BeGS], we have

$$F+dt(D+\frac{c(T)}{4})=-(\nabla^G_{e_i}+\frac{1}{2}\left\langle S(e_i)e_j,f_\alpha\right\rangle e_jdy_\alpha$$

$$+\frac{1}{4}\left\langle S(e_i)f_\alpha,f_\beta\right\rangle dy_\alpha dy_\beta-\frac{1}{2}e_idt)^2+\frac{r_G}{4}, \tag{5.28}$$

By (5.24)-(5.28), we have

$$h[F+dt(D+\frac{c(T)}{4})]h^{-1}=F+dtu, \tag{5.29}$$

where $O_G(u)\leq 0$. By the Duhamel principle, we can get

$$tdt\mathrm{Tr}^{\mathrm{even}}[\widetilde{\phi}(D+\frac{c(T)}{4})\exp(-tF)]=\mathrm{Tr}^{\mathrm{even}}[\widetilde{\phi}\exp(-tF)]-\mathrm{Tr}^{\mathrm{even}}[\widetilde{\phi}h^{-1}\exp(-t(F+dtu))h]. \tag{5.30}$$

Then

$$t\frac{dt}{\sqrt{t}}\mathrm{Tr}^{\mathrm{even}}[\widetilde{\phi}(D+\frac{c(T)}{4t})\psi_t\exp(-tF)]=\mathrm{Tr}^{\mathrm{even}}[\widetilde{\phi}\psi_t\exp(-tF)]$$

$$-\mathrm{Tr}^{\mathrm{even}}[\widetilde{\phi}h^{-1}\psi_t\exp(-t(F+dtu))h]. \tag{5.31}$$

We know that the lemma 3.6 is still correct. When we take $\mathrm{Tr}^{\mathrm{even}}$, the terms having coefficients in Ω^{odd} vanish. Similar to the section 5.1, we can get

Theorem 5.4 *As $t\to 0^+$, we have*

$$\mathrm{Tr}^{\mathrm{even}}[\psi_t\widetilde{\phi}(D+\frac{c(T)}{4})e^{-tF}]\sim O(t^{\frac{1}{2}}). \tag{5.32}$$

By Lemma 5.3 and Theorem 5.4, the equivariant eta form is well defined. When n is even, we define

$$\widehat{\eta}(\phi):=\int_0^\infty \mathrm{Tr}^{\mathrm{odd}}[\widetilde{\phi}\frac{d\mathcal{B}_t}{dt}e^{-\mathcal{B}_t^2}]dt. \tag{5.33}$$

Similarly, we can prove the regularity in this case.

Acknowledgement. This project was supported by NSFC No.11271062 and Fok Ying Tong Education Foundation No. 121003. I would like to thank Profs. Weiping Zhang and Huitao Feng for introducing the index theory to me. I would like to thank

Prof. Weiping Zhang and Dr. Hang Wang for their careful reading and helpful comments.

References

[APS]M. F. Atiyah; V. K. Patodi; I. M. Singer, Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry. I. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 77(1975), 43-69.
[As] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer: The index of elliptic operators IV. Annals of Math. 93(1971), 119-138.
[Az1]F. Azmi, The equivariant Dirac cyclic cocycle, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 30(2000), 1171-1206.
[Az2]F. Azmi, The Chern-Connes character formula for families of Dirac operators, Publ. Math. Debrecen 61(2002), 1-27.
[Az3]F. Azmi, Equivariant bivariant cyclic theory and equivariant Chern-Connes character. Rocky Mountain J. Math. 34(2004), no. 2, 391-412.
[BeGS]R. Beals, P. Greiner, N. Stanton, The heat equation on a CR manifold. J. Differential Geom. 20 (1984), 343-387.
[BeC]M. Benameur and A. Carey, Higher spectral flow and an entire bivariant JLO cocycle, arXiv:1108.4528.
[BGV]N. Berline; E. Getzler; M. Vergne, *Heat kernels and Dirac operators.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
[BV1]N. Berline; M. Vergne, A proof of Bismut local index theorem for a family of Dirac operators. Topology 26(1987), no. 4, 435-463.
[BV2] N. Berline and M. Vergne: A computation of the equiwriant index of the Dirac operators. Bull. Soc. Math. Prance 113(1985) 305-345.
[Bi1] J.-M. Bismut: The Atiyah-Singer theorems: A probabilistic approach. J. Func. Anal. 57(1984) 56-99.
[Bi2] J.-M. Bismut: The Atiyah-Singer index theorem for families of Dirac operators: Two heat equation proofs. Invent. Math. 83(1986) 91-151.
[BC1]J. M. Bismut; J. Cheeger, Families index for manifolds with boundary, superconnections, and cones. I. Families of manifolds with boundary and Dirac operators. J. Funct. Anal. 89 (1990), no.2, 313-363.
[BC2]J. M. Bismut; J. Cheeger, Families index for manifolds with boundary, superconnections and cones. II. The Chern character. J. Funct. Anal. 90(1990), no. 2, 306-354.
[BiF]J. M. Bismut; D. Freed, The analysis of elliptic families. II. Dirac operators, eta invariants, and the holonomy theorem. Comm. Math. Phys. 107(1986), no. 1, 103-163.
[BiGS]J. M .Bismut; H. Gillet; C. Soulé, Analytic torsion and holomorphic determinant bundles. I. Bott-Chern forms and analytic torsion. Comm. Math. Phys. 115(1988), no. 1, 49-78.
[BlF]J. Block; J. Fox, Asymptotic pseudodifferential operators and index theory. Contemp. Math., 105(1990), 1-32.

[CH] S. Chern and X. Hu, Equivariant Chern character for the invariant Dirac operators, Michigan Math. J. 44(1997), 451-473.

[Co] A. Connes, Entire cyclic cohomology of Banach algebras and the character of -summable Fredholm module, K-Theory 1(1988), 519-548.

[CM1]A, Connes; H. Moscovici Cyclic cohomology, the Novikov conjecture and hyperbolic groups. Topology 29(1990), no. 3, 345-388.

[CM2]A. Connes and H. Moscovici, Transgression and Chern character of finite dimensional K-cycles, Commun. Math. Phys. 155(1993), 103-122.

[Do1]H. Donnelly, Local index theorem for families. Michigan Math. J. 35(1988), no. 1, 11-20.

[Do2]H. Donnelly, Eta invariants for G-spaces. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 27 (1978), no. 6, 889-918.

[F]H. Feng, A note on the noncommutative Chern character (in Chinese), Acta Math. Sinica 46 (2003), 57-64.

[Ge1]E. Getzler: PseudodifferentiaI operators on supermanifolds and the Atiyah-Singer index theorem. Commun. Math. Phys. 92(1983) 163-178.

[Ge2]E. Getzler: A short proof of the local Atiyah-Singer index theorem. Topology 25(1986) 111-117.

[Gr]P. Greiner, An asymptotic expansion for the heat equation. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 41(1971), 163-218.

[JLO] A. Jaffe, A. Lesniewski and K. Osterwalder, Quantum K-theory: The Chern character, Comm. Math. Phys. 118(1988), 1-14.

[LYZ] J. D. Lafferty, Y. L. Yu and W. P. Zhang, A direct geometric proof of Lefschetz fixed point formulas, Trans. AMS. 329 (1992), 571-583.

[LM]K. Liu; X. Ma, On family rigidity theorems. I. Duke Math. J. 102(2000), no. 3, 451C474.

[Lo]J. Lott, Superconnections and higher index theory, Geom. Func. Anal. 2 (1992), 421-454.

[MS]H. P. Mckean; I. M. Singer: Curvature and the eigenvalue of the Laplacian, J. Diff. Geom., I(1967), 43-69.

[Pa]V. K. Patodi, Ourvatures and the eigenforms of the Laplace operator, J. Diff. Geom., 5(1971), 238-249.

[Po1]R. Ponge, A new short proof of the local index formula and some of its applications. Comm. Math. Phys. 241(2003), 215-234.

[Po2]R. Ponge, A new proof of the local regularity of the eta invariant of a Dirac operator. J. Geom. Phys. 56(2006), no. 9, 1654-1665.

[PW] R. Ponge and H. Wang, Noncommutative geometry, conformal geometry, and the local equivariant index theorem, arXiv:1210.2032.

[Qu] D. Quillen: Superconnections and the Chern character. Topology 24(1985) 89-95.

[Wu]F. Wu, A bivariant Chern-Connes character and the higher Γ-index theorem. K-Theory 11(1997), no. 1, 35-82.

[Yu]Y. Yu, Local index theorem for Dirac operators. Acta. Math. Sinica., vol.3(1987), No.2, pp.152-169.

[Z1]W. Zhang, Local Atiyah-Singer index theorem for families of Dirac operators. Differential geometry and topology ,Lecture Notes in Math., 1369, Springer, Berlin, 1989, 351-366.

[Z2]W. Zhang, The Chern-Connes character and the local family index theorem, preprint.

[Z3]W. Zhang, A note on equivariant eta invariants. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 108(1990), no. 4, 1121-1129.

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northeast Normal University, Changchun Jilin, 130024, China

E-mail: *wangy581@nenu.edu.cn*